

Table 2: Quality Assessment of Papers

Study	Representativeness	Design	Confounders	Data Collection Method	Drop-outs	Global rating
Saksvig et al. (2005)	Strong	Moderate	Weak	Moderate	Weak	Weak
Faro et al. (2005)	Weak	Moderate	Weak	Weak	Strong	Moderate
Bradshaw et al. (2007)	Weak	Strong	Weak	Strong	Strong	Weak
Laatikainen et al. (2007)	Moderate	Strong	Strong	Strong	Weak	Moderate
Davies et al. (2008)	Moderate	Strong	Moderate	Strong	Strong	Strong

Dutton et al. (2008)	Weak	Strong	Weak	Strong	Strong	Weak
Thoolen et al. (2008)	Weak	Strong	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
Sacco et al. (2009)	Strong	Strong	Strong	Strong	Moderate	Strong
Contento et al. (2010)	Moderate	Strong	Strong	Moderate	Moderate	Strong
Yates et al. (2009)	Weak	Strong	Strong	Strong	Strong	Moderate
Wu et al. (2011)	Strong	Strong	Strong	Weak	Strong	Moderate
Hartmann et al. (2012)	Moderate	Strong	Strong	Strong	Moderate	Strong

Glasgow et al. (2012)	Weak	Strong	Strong	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
Mohammed et al. (2013)	Weak	Strong	Strong	Strong	Moderate	Moderate
Miller et al. (2014)	Weak	Strong	Strong	Strong	Moderate	Moderate
Jennings et al. (2014)	Strong	Strong	Strong	Weak	Weak	Weak
Heiderman et al. (2015)	Strong	Strong	Strong	Strong	Moderate	Strong
Biddle et al. (2015)	Strong	Strong	Strong	Strong	Moderate	Strong
Ramadas et al. (2015)	Weak	Strong	Strong	Moderate	Strong	Moderate

Yates et al. (2015)	Weak	Strong	Strong	Strong	Strong	Moderate
Macedo et al. (2017)	Strong	Strong	Strong	Strong	Strong	Strong
McCurley et al. (2017)	Weak	Moderate	Strong	Moderate	Weak	Weak
Taggart et al. (2017)	Weak	Strong	Strong	Strong	Strong	Moderate

