

Table 1: Study characteristics table

Study	Participants	Aims and setting	Measures	Outcomes	Delivery: Length of session(s), duration, and group or individual
Saksvig et al. (2005) Canada	<p>Sample size: 122 (Not Powered)</p> <p>Gender: females - 45%; males 55%</p> <p>Age: Range 7-14 years)</p> <p>Ethnicity: not specified</p> <p>Diabetes status: At risk of T2</p> <p>Preventative</p>	<p>Pilot study of a culturally tailored intervention for Native Canadian Children</p> <p>Pretest/post-test, single sample</p>	<p>Anthropometric measures</p> <p>24-h dietary recall</p> <p>CATCH Health Behaviors Questionnaire</p> <p>Kahnawake Schools Diabetes Prevention Program classroom Questionnaire</p> <p>Developed parent/guardian questionnaire</p>	<p>Significant increases ($p = .0001$) for intention, dietary preference, knowledge, and dietary self-efficacy, curriculum knowledge scale</p> <p>Dietary knowledge ($p = 0.05$);</p> <p>Knowledge about curriculum concepts ($p = 0.05$)</p> <p>Dietary fiber intake ($p = 0.1$)</p>	<p>Delivered over academic year in curriculum</p> <p>Group</p>

<p>Faro et al. (2005) U.S.</p>	<p>Sample size: 27 (Not powered) Gender: females – 44%; males – 56% Age: not specified Ethnicity: African American – 55%; Hispanic – 25%; White – 18%; Other – 2% Diabetes status: At risk of T2D Preventative</p>	<p>Pilot study conducting periodic diabetes care visits in school to reduce diabetes risk. Pretest/post-test, single sample</p>	<p>Self-Efficacy for Diabetes (SED) Tool Developed 15-item Survey for parents Developed physician or PNP survey</p>	<p>Biochemical: Not significant - Glycaemic control not significant Psychosocial: Student self-efficacy changes not significant.</p>	<p>Delivered over academic year in curriculum Group</p>
<p>Bradshaw et al. (2007) U.S.</p>	<p><i>N</i> = 67 (Powered) Gender: females 65%; males 35% Age: not specified Ethnicity: Hispanic - 3% & 0%; African American - 0% & 0%</p>	<p>Testing the efficacy of a resiliency training approach for people with T2D RCT, Intervention and control</p>	<p>Glycosylated haemoglobin (HbA1c) assay Waist measurement Purpose developed questionnaire</p>	<p>Physiological measures (not significant) Waist measurement (not significant)</p>	<p>10 modules, 15 hours 6 months duration Group</p>

	<p>American Indian – 3% & 0%</p> <p>Asian – 7% & 0%</p> <p>Pacific Islander – 3% & 0%</p> <p>Caucasian – 83% & 100%</p> <p>Diabetes status: diagnosed T2D</p> <p>Diabetes Self- management Program</p>			<p>Eating and exercise habits ($p < .05$)</p> <p>Psychosocial measures (self- efficacy, locus of control, social support, and purpose in life, all $p < .05$)</p>	
Laatikainen et al. (2007) Australia	<p>Sample size $N = 237$ (Not powered)</p> <p>Gender: females – 73%; males – 27%;</p> <p>Age: mean 57 years)</p> <p>Ethnicity: not specified</p>	<p>Examining effects of a T2D (with and at risk of) intervention (Greater Green Triangle (GGT) Diabetes Prevention Project)</p>	<p>Clinical measurements</p> <p>Kessler 10 Psychological Distress Scale (K-10)</p>	<p>Biochemical: Weight (not significant) Waist circumference reductions (95%)</p>	<p>6 modules, 90 minutes each</p> <p>8 months duration</p> <p>Group</p>

	Diabetes status: At risk of T2D	Pretest/post-test, single sample	Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS)	confidence interval 3.48 to 4.87).	
	Preventative		General health assessed using Short Form 36 (SF-36v2)	Glucose Reductions (0.07 to 0.20)	
				Psychosocial: Reduced distress ($p = .002$)	
Davies et al. (2008) U.K.	Sample size $N = 824$ (Powered) Gender: females – 47%; males – 53%; Age: mean 59.5 years Ethnicity: not specified Diabetes status: diagnosed T2D Diabetes Self-management Program	Effectiveness of the diabetes education and self-management for ongoing and newly diagnosed (DESMOND) programme for people T2D RCT, Intervention and control	Biomedical measures Summary of diabetes self-care activities questionnaire (lifestyle) International physical activity questionnaire World Health Organization's quality of life	Biomedical: Weight loss ($p = .027$) Health behaviours: Smoking cessation ($p = .033$) Psychosocial: Illness belief scores ($p = .001$) directions of change were positive indicating	One session of six hours (or 2 of 3 hours) One single session duration Group

			instrument WHOQOL-BREF	greater understanding of diabetes	
			Illness	Lower depression ($p = .032$)	
			perceptions questionnaire- revised	Positive association was found between change in perceived personal responsibility and weight loss at 12 months ($\beta = .12; p = .008$).	
			Diabetes illness representations questionnaire		
			Problem areas in diabetes scale		
			Hospital anxiety and depression scale		
Dutton et al. (2008) U.S.	Sample size $N=85$ (Not powered) Gender: females - 68.2%; males - 31.8% Age: mean 57.1 years	Examining effects of a tailored, print-based intervention for promoting PA among patients with T2D	Self-report surveys and structured interviews with research staff	Non-significant PA levels, although Intervention group more likely to be in PA stage at 1 month (OR = 3.2, 95% CI 1.0 – 10.3) and in the	No sessions delivered Individual

	<p>Ethnicity: not specified</p> <p>Diabetes status: diagnosed T2D</p> <p>Diabetes Self-management Program</p>	<p>RCT, Intervention and control</p>	<p>Biomedical measures</p> <p>7-day Physical Activity Recall (PAR)</p> <p>Stages of change</p>	<p>Action or Maintenance stages (OR = 5.6, 95% CI 1.7 – 18.3)</p>	
<p>Thoolen et al. (2008)</p> <p>Netherlands</p>	<p>Sample size $N=180$ (Powered)</p> <p>Gender: females – 35%; males – 64%</p> <p>Age: mean 62 years</p> <p>Ethnicity: not specified</p> <p>Diabetes status: diagnosed T2D</p> <p>Diabetes Self-management Program</p>	<p>Evaluating an intervention for T2D self-management: addressing specific self-care issues with proactive five-step plan to improve confidence and self-management</p> <p>RCT, Intervention and control</p>	<p>Evaluation form</p> <p>Proactive Diabetes Management Inventory</p> <p>Questionnaire adapted from Lorig et al.</p>	<p>Psychosocial: Self-efficacy and goal attainment ($p = .001$)</p>	<p>Eight 2 hour group sessions and two 1 hour individual sessions.</p> <p>12 weeks duration</p> <p>Group and individual</p>

Yates et al. (2009) U.K.	<p>Sample size $N=87$ (Not powered)</p> <p>Gender: females – 38%; males – 62%</p> <p>Age: mean 64 years</p> <p>Ethnicity: White Europeans – 92%; South Asians – 8%</p> <p>Diabetes status: At risk of T2D</p> <p>Preventative</p>	<p>Evaluating a structured education program promoting physical activity through increased ambulatory activity and improving glucose tolerance in those with impaired glucose tolerance (IGT).</p> <p>RCT, Intervention and control</p>	<p>Ambulatory activity through pedometer readings</p> <p>IPAQ</p> <p>Anthropometric measures</p> <p>Illness perceptions questionnaire</p> <p>Self-efficacy Likert Scale</p>	<p>Increased walking at 3, 6, and 12 months (95% CI: (576 – 3,150), $p = .005$; (989 – 3,426), $p = 0.001$; (945 – 2859) $p = <0.001$, respectively)</p> <p>Post-challenge glucose and fasting glucose (95% CI - 2.20 to -.43 and -.32 m-0.59 to -.03)</p> <p>Psychosocial: Walking self-efficacy ($p = .01$)</p>	<p>One 3 hr Session</p> <p>12 month duration</p> <p>Group</p>
Sacco et al. (2009) U.S.	<p>Sample size $N=62$ (Not powered)</p> <p>Gender: female – 58%; male 42%</p>	<p>Evaluating a telephone intervention by paraprofessionals for T2D targeting</p>	<p>Summary of Diabetes Self-Care Activities Questionnaire</p>	<p>Adherence to diabetes care regime ($p = .001$)</p>	<p>Mean 16 sessions, 15-20 minutes long</p>

<p>Age: mean 52 years</p> <p>Ethnicity: Caucasian - 77.4%; African-American – 45%; Hispanic – 8.1%</p> <p>Diabetes status: diagnosed T2D</p>	<p>diabetes adherence, glycaemic control, diabetes-related medical symptoms, and depressive symptoms</p>	<p>Biochemical and biomedical measures</p> <p>Summary of Diabetes Self-Care Activities Questionnaire</p>	<p>Glycaemic control and BMI (non-significant)</p> <p>Diabetes Self-efficacy mediates effect of treatment on depressive symptoms ($p = .05$)</p>	<p>Average of 24 weeks duration</p>
<p>Diabetes Self-management Program</p>	<p>RCT, Intervention and control</p>	<p>Nine Symptom Depression Checklist of the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9)</p>	<p>Control and awareness of illness ($p = .01$)</p>	<p>Individual</p>
		<p>Diabetes Knowledge Test</p>		
		<p>Multidimensional</p>		
		<p>Diabetes Questionnaire Self-Efficacy subscale</p>		

			Social support and self-care Likert Scales		
Contento et al. (2010) U.S.	<p>Sample size = 1134 (Not powered)</p> <p>Gender: female – 49%; male – 51%</p> <p>Age: mean 12 years</p> <p>Ethnicity: Latino - 70%; African-American - 25%; Others - 5%</p> <p>Diabetes status: At risk of T2D</p> <p>Preventative</p>	<p>Examining effects of T2D prevention program (Choice, Control, and Change (C3)) on diet and lifestyle in adolescents</p> <p>RCT, Intervention and control</p>	<p>Dietary and PA behavioural frequency measures, Personal agency (autonomy and competence)</p>	<p>Dietary behaviours: Decreases in poor diet ($p = .001$)</p> <p>Physical activity: Increases in intention to exercise ($p = .001$)</p> <p>Psychosocial: Increased self-efficacy for all targeted behaviours except eating more fruits and vegetables ($p = .001$)</p>	<p>Twenty four sessions of 45 minutes</p> <p>8-10 weeks</p> <p>Group</p>
Wu et al. (2011) China	<p>Sample size $N=145$ (Powered)</p> <p>Gender: female - 64.1%; male – 35.9%</p>	<p>Exploring effectiveness of a Self-efficacy enhancing T2D intervention program.</p>	<p>Chinese version of the Diabetes Management Self-Efficacy Scale (C-DMSES)</p>	<p>Efficacy expectations ($p = 0.01$)</p>	<p>Four 1 hr sessions, follow-up calls at 8 and 16 weeks</p>

	<p>Age: mean 64 years</p> <p>Ethnicity: not specified</p> <p>Diabetes status: diagnosed T2D</p> <p>Diabetes Self-management Program</p>	<p>The evaluation focused on improvements in self-efficacy, outcome expectations, and self-care behaviours</p> <p>RCT, Intervention and control</p>	<p>Chinese version of the Perceived Therapeutic Efficacy Scale (C-PTES)</p> <p>Chinese version of the Summary of Diabetes Self-Care Activities (SDSCA) scale</p>	<p>Outcome expectations ($p = 0.01$)</p> <p>Self-care activities ($p = 0.01$)</p>	<p>16 weeks duration</p> <p>Individual</p>
<p>Hartmann et al. (2012)</p> <p>Germany</p>	<p>Sample size $N=110$ (Not powered)</p> <p>Gender: females – 22%; males - 78%</p> <p>Age: mean 59.5 years</p> <p>Ethnicity: not specified</p> <p>Diabetes status: diagnosed T2D</p>	<p>Exploring effects of a T2D intervention (HEIDIS) for reducing progression of nephropathy, depression and psychosocial stress, improving self-perceived health status</p>	<p>Biochemical measures</p> <p>Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ)</p> <p>12-item short-form health survey (SF-12)</p>	<p>Delayed progression of albuminuria (not significant)</p> <p>Lower depression in intervention ($p = .71$) and in health status ($p = .54$)</p>	<p>8 weekly sessions (session duration not provided)</p> <p>8 weeks with booster session after 6 months duration</p> <p>Group</p>

	Diabetes Self-management Program	RCT, Intervention and control			
Glasgow et al. (2012) U.S.	<p>Sample size $N=463$ (Powered)</p> <p>Gender: females – 50.4%, males – 49.6%</p> <p>Age: mean 58 years</p> <p>Diabetes status: diagnosed T2D</p> <p>Diabetes Self-management Program</p>	<p>Internet based T2D self-management program targeting changes in health behaviours (healthy eating, physical activity, and medication taking) plus biomedical and psychosocial issues (self-efficacy and diabetes distress)</p> <p>RCT, Intervention and control</p>	<p>Subjective health numeracy scale</p> <p>“Starting The Conversation” scale</p> <p>Lorig's eight-item Diabetes Self-Efficacy scale</p> <p>Biochemical measures</p> <p>Positive Transfer of Past Experience from the Diabetes Problem Solving Scale of Hill-Briggs</p>	<p>Healthy eating, medication taking and physical activity (d for effect size = .09 – .16)</p> <p>Haemoglobin A1c, body mass index, lipids, blood pressure (not significant)</p> <p>Reduced diabetes distress ($p = .05$).</p>	<p>Internet program self-administered.</p> <p>Additional support group received 2 follow-up calls and three 2 hour group sessions</p> <p>12 months duration</p> <p>Individual and group</p>

			Chronic Illness Resources Survey (CIRS)		
				EuroQol health status instrument	
				Diabetes Distress Scale (DDS)	
Mohamed et al. (2013) Qatar	Sample size $N=430$ (Not powered) Gender: not specified Age: mean 53.5 years Ethnicity: Arabic Diabetes status: diagnosed T2D Diabetes Self- management Program	Culturally sensitive intervention for T2D targeting biomedical, knowledge, attitude and practice measure through T2D self- management education RCT, Intervention and control	Biochemical measures Biomedical Adapted Diabetes questionnaire (previously used but not validated)	Improved HbA1C levels ($p = .001$) Diabetes knowledge ($p = .0001$)	Four sessions of 3-4 hours 12 weeks duration Group

Miller et al. (2014) U.S.	<p>Sample size $N=32$ (Not powered)</p> <p>Gender: females 64%; males 36%</p> <p>Age: range 35-65 years)</p> <p>Ethnicity: Caucassian – 81.5%; Other - 18.5%</p> <p>Diabetes status: diagnosed T2D</p> <p>Diabetes Self-management Program</p>	<p>Comparing a mindful-eating intervention to a DSME program for improving dietary patterns</p> <p>RCT, Intervention and control</p>	<p>Food Frequency Questionnaire</p> <p>Outcome expectancies and self-efficacy questionnaire</p> <p>25-item Eating Self-Efficacy Scale</p> <p>The Three-Factor Eating Questionnaire (TFEQ)</p> <p>The Five-Facet Mindfulness Questionnaire</p>	<p>Dietary knowledge ($p = .05$)</p> <p>Adherence to diet (F (1, 59) = 5.71, $p = <.05$)</p> <p>Depressive symptoms, outcome expectations, nutrition and eating-related self-efficacy and mindfulness ($p = .0125$)</p> <p>Weight change (non-significant)</p>	<p>8 weekly and 2 biweekly 2½ hour sessions, plus 1 and 3 month follow up sessions</p> <p>2 years duration</p> <p>Group</p>
Jennings et al. (2014) U.S.	<p>Sample size $N=397$ (Powered)</p> <p>Gender: females - 47.6%; males 52.4%</p> <p>Age: mean 58 years</p>	<p>Evaluating a web-based physical activity intervention for adults with T2D targeting increased PA</p>	<p>International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ)</p>	<p>Group-by-time interaction (X2 (df = 1) = 6.37, $p = .05$) for total physical activity</p>	<p>Internet program self-administered.</p> <p>12 weeks duration</p>

	Ethnicity: not specified		Biomedical measures		Individual
	Diabetes status: diagnosed T2D	RCT, Intervention and control			
	Diabetes Self-management Program				
Heideman et al. (2015) Netherlands	Sample size $N=96$ (Not powered) Gender: females - 67.7%; males - 32.3% Age: mean 55 years Ethnicity: Dutch – 80%; Suriname - 4.2%; Antilles - 2.1%; Netherlands East Indies - 4.2% Diabetes status: At risk of T2D	Examining effects of a low-intensive lifestyle educational T2D prevention program (DiAlert) targeting weight loss RCT, Intervention and control	Biomedical measures International Physical Activity Questionnaire: IPAQ Health- related quality of life: EQ5D Kessler-10 scale (K10) for diabetes distress	Weight loss ($p = .03$) Waist circumference ($p = .01$) Self-efficacy and risk perception (non-significant)	Two sessions of 150 minutes plus newsletters 12 months duration Group

	Preventative		Self-efficacy (sum 20 scale)		
Biddle et al., (2015) U.K.	<p>Sample size $N=187$ (Powered)</p> <p>Gender: females - 68.5%; males; 31.5%</p> <p>Age: mean 32.8 years</p> <p>Ethnicity: Unspecified majority – 80.2% Black and ethnic minority – 19.8%</p> <p>Diabetes status: At risk</p> <p>Preventative</p>	<p>Examining T2D prevention program focussing on sedentary time reduction</p> <p>RCT, Intervention and control</p>	<p>Accelerometer-assessed sedentary behaviour</p> <p>Biochemical and anthropometric measures</p> <p>International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ)</p> <p>Total and Domain-Specific Sitting Questionnaire</p>	<p>Reduced sedentary behaviour (non-significant)</p> <p>Biochemical, anthropometric and psychosocial variables (all non-significant)</p>	<p>One 3 hour session</p> <p>9 months duration</p> <p>Group</p>
Ramadas et al., (2015) Malaysia	<p>Sample size $N=82$ (Powered)</p>	<p>Evaluating internet based diabetes intervention</p>	<p>Process evaluation for feasibility and acceptability</p>	<p>Process evaluation</p> <p>Response rate 89%</p>	<p>12 Lessons</p> <p>Intended length not specified but</p>

	<p>Further demographics provided for intervention group only (N=59)</p> <p>Gender: Females 49.2%; males 50.8%</p> <p>Age: mean 49 years</p> <p>Ethnicity: “Malay community” 88%</p> <p>Diabetes status: Diagnosed with T2D</p> <p>Diabetes Self-management Program</p>	RCT, Intervention and control	<p>Dietary Knowledge, Attitude, and Behaviour Questionnaire (DKAB-Q)</p> <p>Biochemical</p>	<p>Dietary Knowledge, Attitude, and Behaviour score strongly correlated with content satisfaction (r=0.826, p<0.001)</p> <p>Acceptability (r=0.793, p<0.001) and usability of website (r=0.724, p<0.001), and moderately correlated with frequency of log-in (r=0.501, p<0.05) and duration spent in the website (r=0.399, p<0.05).</p>	<p>participants logged in for a mean 12 minutes</p> <p>6 months duration</p> <p>Individual</p>
Yates et al., (2016) U.K.	Sample size N=808 (Powered)	Evaluating the efficacy of the	Ambulatory activity through pedometer readings	Increased Physical activity [95% confidence interval	One three-hour educational session

	<p>Gender: Females 36%; males 64%</p> <p>Age: Mean 63.6 years</p> <p>Diabetes status: Pre-diabetic</p> <p>Preventative</p>	<p>“Walking Away from Diabetes” program</p> <p>RCT, Intervention and control</p>	<p>Biochemical</p> <p>Dietary Instrument for Nutrition Education food frequency questionnaire</p>	<p>(CI): 117, 704] and self-reported vigorous-intensity physical activity of 218 metabolic equivalent min/week (95% CI: 6, 425) at 12 months, however not beyond</p> <p>Biochemical (not significant)</p>	<p>followed by repeated measures</p> <p>12 months duration</p> <p>Group</p>
<p>Macedo et al., (2017)</p> <p>Brazil</p>	<p>Sample size N=183 (Not powered)</p> <p>Gender: Females 62.5% Males 37.5%</p> <p>Age: Mean 59 years</p> <p>Diabetes status: Diagnosed with T2D</p>	<p>Evaluating adherence to a group based DSM educational program</p> <p>RCT, Intervention and control</p>	<p>Adherence to self-care practices for diabetes mellitus (ESM)</p> <p>Diabetes Empowerment Scale-Short Form – DES-SF</p> <p>Biochemical</p>	<p>Significant decrease in glycated haemoglobin (P< 0.001)</p> <p>Significant increase in adherence to self-care and empowerment Scales (P< 0.001)</p>	<p>Seven group meetings, lasting around two hours</p> <p>14 hours</p> <p>Group</p>

Diabetes Self-management Program

<p>McCurley et al., (2017) U.S.</p>	<p>Sample size: N=61 (Not powered)</p> <p>Gender: Females 100%</p> <p>Age: Mean 47.8 years</p> <p>Diabetes status: At risk of T2D</p> <p>Preventative</p>	<p>Evaluating effectiveness, feasibility, and acceptability of a peer-led, culturally appropriate, Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP) for Latina women at high-risk of T2</p> <p>RCT, Intervention and control</p>	<p>Anthropometric</p> <p>9-item Rapid Assessment of Physical Activity</p> <p>University of California Cooperative Extension Food Behavior Checklist</p> <p>8-item Patient Health Questionnaire (for depression)</p>	<p>Mean reduction of 4.1% body weight at 6 months</p> <p>Significant improvements observed for dietary behaviors, stress, and depression symptoms (P<0.005)</p> <p>Focus groups indicated that intervention content increased knowledge, was applicable, valued, culturally relevant, and would</p>	<p>Weekly 2-hour class for 12 weeks</p> <p>6 Months</p> <p>Group</p>
---	---	---	---	--	--

			9-item exercise barriers measure from the Healthy and Retirement Study	be recommended to others	
			10-item Perceived Stress Scale (PSS)		
			Intervention fidelity evaluation		
Taggart et al., (2017) U.K.	Sample size: <i>N</i> =39 (Not powered) Gender: Females 56.4% Males 43.6% Age: Mean 54.7 years Diabetes status: Diagnosed with T2D	Pilot feasibility study of DESMOND-ID, and adaptation of the DESMOND (Davies et al., 2008) program for people with ID. RCT, Intervention and control	Illness Perception Questionnaire-Revised (IPQ) The Diabetes Illness Representation Questionnaire (DIRQ) WHO quality of life questionnaire (WHOQOL-BREF)	Interaction between occasion (time) and condition, showed statistically significant results (<i>P</i> =0.04) for HbA1c Interaction between condition not significant in BMI IPQ shift (<i>P</i> = 0.00)	7 weekly sessions 12 week duration Group

Diabetes Self-
management
Program

Focus group process
evaluation

DIRQ (not
significant)

Anthropometric

WHOQOL-BREF
(Not significant)

Biochemical

Five major themes:
1) the user-friendly
content and delivery
of the programme; 2)
the knowledge and
skills of the
educators; 3) the
support of the carers;
4) social aspects; and
5) difficulties in
understanding the
nature of fats and
carbohydrates.